




STATE OF OHIO  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
JIM PETRO, ATTORNEY GENERAL



180 E. Broad St., 9th Fl  
Columbus, OH 43215  
www.ag.state.oh.us

September 17, 2003

Marlene H. Dortch  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 - 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *In the Matter of Federal State Joint Board on  
Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45.*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I represent the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Ohio Commission") in this proceeding and I am writing to you in that capacity.

Accompanying this letter is a copy of a Finding & Order (F&O) released by the Ohio Commission on September 11, 2003. This F&O was issued pursuant to the FCC's directive set forth in CC Docket No. 96-45, which required State commissions to certify that the rural and non-rural carriers eligible to receive federal high-cost support in their State (including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support) will use such funding only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

As you can see from the attached Order (Attachment 1), the Ohio rural and non-rural carriers previously identified by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) as eligible to receive the aforementioned federal USF support has filed with the Ohio Commission a sworn affidavit demonstrating their intent to utilize such funding in a manner consistent with Section § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

In further keeping with the federal certification requirements, also attached to this letter are two lists (included together as Attachment 2) separately identifying the specific rural and non-rural carriers, respectively, that were granted certification via the September 11<sup>th</sup> F&O, along with each carrier's unique 6-digit NECA study area code.

No. of Copies rec'd CH  
List A B C D E

Accordingly, the Ohio Commission certifies that all of the above-referenced carriers have indicated in writing their intent to use the funding only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

**Please send me a time-stamped copy of this letter in the enclosed self-addressed envelope (an extra copy of this letter is enclosed for that purpose).** Otherwise, if you should have any questions or comments regarding this submittal, please contact me at the number below or Michael Dorrian, Utilities Specialist 1 with the PUCO, at (614) 644-8102

Respectfully submitted,



**Steven T. Nourse**  
Principal Attorney  
Public Utilities Section  
180 E. Broad Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
(614) 466-4396

cc: Irene Flannery, Universal Service Administrative Company

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission )  
 Investigation of the Intrastate Universal ) Case No. 97-632-TP-COI  
 Service Discounts. )

FINDING AND ORDER

The Commission finds:

- (1) On May 7, 1997, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued a Report and Order in CC Docket 96-45 (96-45) (*In the Matter of Federal-State Board on Universal Service*) adopting rules to promote universal service consistent with the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act). In its 96-45 decision, the FCC, among other things, set forth parameters for the states to determine those carriers eligible to receive federal universal service support. The states were further to determine those carriers that should be classified as rural carriers or non-rural carriers for the purpose of federal universal service support consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
- (2) On November 2, 1999, the FCC released its Ninth Report and Order and Eighteenth Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-45. In that Order, the FCC addressed, among other things, the issue of non-rural carriers' eligibility to receive federal high cost support through the temporary "hold-harmless" provision. Hold-harmless support was established by the FCC as a short term measure to ensure that the amount of support provided to non-rural carriers under the newly revised high cost funding mechanism is no less than the amount provided under the former mechanism. The FCC determined that states are well suited and best positioned to determine whether non-rural carriers intend to utilize such hold-harmless support consistent with the goals set forth in Section 254(e) of the 1996 Act. Under Section 254(e), carriers must use universal service support "only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." Given that states generally have primary authority over carriers' intrastate activities, the FCC indicated that a state certification process provides the most reliable means of determining such carriers' compliance with the legislative mandate. Accordingly, the FCC stated that it would require the states that wish to receive federal universal service hold-harmless support for non-rural carriers within their boundaries to file a certification with the FCC and the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) stating that all federal high-cost funds flowing to those carriers in that state will be used in a manner consistent with Section 254(e). Absent such certification, carriers will not receive such support. Moreover, in the event that a State determines that a carrier has not complied with Section 254(e), the State shall have the authority to revoke certification.
- (3) In a similar decision issued on May 23, 2001, under the same docket (Fourteenth Report and Order and Twenty-Second Order on

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business  
 Technician           J           Date Processed 9.11.07

Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-45), the FCC determined that states should also be responsible for determining whether rural carriers are using their universal service high cost support (specifically, high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36]; local switching support [47 C.F.R. §54.301]; and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. §54.305]) consistent with Section 254(e). Based on that determination, the FCC applied the same certification procedures for rural carrier receipt of high cost funding as it did for non-rural carriers' receipt of hold harmless support.

- (4) FCC certifications for federal high cost funding are to be submitted annually on October 1st, in order to be eligible for high cost support throughout the next full calendar year.
- (5) In order to comply with the FCC's certification requirements, on August 14, 2003, the Commission released an Entry in the instant docket calling for notarized affidavits from those rural and non-rural carriers receiving federal universal service high cost funding in Ohio, attesting that they will utilize such support consistent with Section 254(e). All affected carriers were required to use template affidavit forms provided by the Commission (as Attachments A and B to the August 14th Entry), and were directed to file such affidavits by September 1, 2003. Accordingly, properly filed affidavits were received from the following carriers:

Alltel Telephone Company  
Arcadia Telephone Company  
The Arthur Mutual Telephone Company  
Ayersville Telephone Company  
Bascom Mutual Telephone Company  
Benton Ridge Telephone Company  
Buckland Telephone Company  
CenturyTel of Ohio, Inc.  
The Champaign Telephone Company  
The Chillicothe Telephone Company  
Columbus Grove Telephone Company  
The Conneaut Telephone Company  
Continental Telephone Company  
Doylestown Telephone Company  
Farmers Mutual Telephone Company  
The Fort Jennings Telephone Company  
Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc.  
Germantown Independent Telephone Company  
Glandorf Telephone Company  
Kalida Telephone Company, Inc.  
Little Miami Communications Corporation  
McClure Telephone Company  
Middle Point Home Telephone Company  
Minford Telephone Company  
New Knoxville Telephone Company

The Nova Telephone Company  
Oakwood Telephone Company  
Orwell Telephone Company  
The Ottoville Mutual Telephone Company  
Pattersonville Telephone Company  
Ridgeville Telephone Company  
Sherwood Mutual Telephone Company  
Sycamore Telephone Company  
Telephone Service Company  
Vanlue Telephone Company  
Vaughnsville Telephone Company  
Wabash Mutual Telephone Company  
Western Reserve Telephone Company

- (6) The Commission's Staff has reviewed the affidavits submitted by the aforementioned companies, and has concluded that they satisfy the FCC's requirements for certification to receive high cost funding consistent with Section 254(e) of the 1996 Act.
- (7) The Commission finds that certification of the aforementioned carriers to receive federal high cost support, including interim hold harmless support for non-rural carriers, as well as high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36], local switching support [47 C.F.R. § 54.301], and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. § 54.305] for rural carriers, should be granted.


It is, therefore,


ORDERED, That, all carriers identified in Finding (5), above, are hereby certified to the FCC and USAC as being eligible to receive federal high cost support (including interim hold harmless support for non-rural carriers, as well as high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36], local switching support [47 C.F.R. § 54.301], and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. § 54.305] for rural carriers), as such carriers have demonstrated their intent to utilize such funding in a manner consistent with Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. It is, further,


ORDERED, That nothing contained in this Finding and Order shall be deemed binding upon this Commission in any subsequent investigation or proceeding involving the justness or reasonableness of any rate, charge, rule, or regulation. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Finding and Order be served upon all interested persons of record in this investigation.


THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

  
Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

  
Ronda Hartman Fergus

  
Donald L. Mason

Judith A. Jones

  
Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.

MGD:sm

Entered in the Journal

**SEP 11 2003**



Renee J Jenkins  
Secretary

Presented below are separate lists of those Ohio rural and non-rural carriers, respectively, that have satisfied the PUCO's affidavit requirement, and thus obtained certification to receive federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support

### Carriers Having Properly Filed 254(e) Rural Affidavits

Study Area Name	SAC
ARCADIA TELEPHONE COMPANY	300585
ARTHUR MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY , THE	300586
AYERSVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300588
BASCOM MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300589
BENTON RIDGE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300590
BUCKLAND <b>MUTUAL</b> TELEPHONE COMPANY	300591
<b>CENTURY TELEPHONE COMPANY</b>	300630
CHAMPAIGN TELEPHONE COMPANY , THE	300594
CHILLICOTHE TELEPHONE COMPANY , THE	300597
COLUMBUS GROVE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300604
CONNEAUT TELEPHONE COMPANY	300606
<b>CONTINENTAL OF OHIO</b>	300607
DOYLESTOWN TELEPHONE COMPANY	300609
FARMERS MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300612
FORT JENNINGS TELEPHONE COMPANY	300614
<b>FRONTIER-MI-OH TELEPHONE COMPANY</b>	300682
GERMANTOWN INDEPENDENT TELEPHONE COMPANY	300618
GLANDORF TELEPHONE COMPANY	300619
KALIDA TELEPHONE COMPANY	300625
LITTLE MIAMI <b>COMM.</b>	300613
MCCLURE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300598
MIDDLE POINT HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY	300633
MINFORD TELEPHONE COMPANY	300634
NEW KNOXVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY , THE	300639
NOVA TELEPHONE COMPANY , THE	300644
OAKWOOD TELEPHONE COMPANY	300645
ORWELL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300649
OTTOVILLE MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300650
PATTERSONVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300651
RIDGEVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300654
SHERWOOD MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300656
SYCAMORE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300658
TELEPHONE SERVICE (F&O includes "COMPANY")	300659
VANLUE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300662
VAUGHNSVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY	300663
WABASH MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	300664

### Carriers Having Properly Filed 254(e) Non-Rural Affidavits

STUDY AREA NAME	SAC
ALLTEL OHIO INC	300665
<b>WESTERN RESERVE TELEPHONE COMPANY, THE</b>	300666